

**PATENT**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:	:	
	:	
Jay D. Hodson et al.	:	Confirmation No. 1633
	:	
Application No. 10/034,886	:	Group Art Unit: 1745
	:	
Filed: December 28, 2001	:	Examiner: Rhee, Jane J.
	:	
For: SCORED PACKAGE AND A	:	Atty Docket: 007034.00037
METHOD OF MAKING THE	:	
SAME	:	

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

Customer Service Window  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action dated June 8, 2007. Although the Office Action does not set a period for response, Applicants believe that an extendible one-month period for response should have been set in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 821.03.<sup>1</sup> A Request for Extension of Time is filed concurrently herewith, extending the period for response by one month, *i.e.*, up to and including August 8, 2007. If any additional fees are due in connection with this filing or if an overpayment of fees has been made, the Director is authorized to charge or credit our Deposit Account 19-0733 as appropriate.

---

<sup>1</sup> “An amendment canceling all claims drawn to the elected invention and presenting only claims drawn to the nonelected invention should not be entered. Such an amendment is nonresponsive. Applicant should be notified by using form paragraph 8.26 . . . ‘Since the above-mentioned amendment appears to be *a bona fide* attempt to reply, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this notice within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a) ARE AVAILABLE.’”